Question 9 (10 marks)

How useful would Sources *E* and *F* be for a historian studying the different goals of Clemenceau, Lloyd George and Wilson in creating the Treaty of Versailles?

In your answer, consider the perspectives provided by the TWO sources and the reliability of each one.

letter by charles seymout, a mem Source E 5 Der - the US delegation at the Paris Peace Conference. 0 the lite of written on June The recipient of the letter is unknown. This limits the so es reliability. The letter could have been writter the press, members of US congress or to his family. This makes the motive unknown and 10 the refore the way he reports events and people could be subject to different deg the motions of tacts abou Men en cea u Wilson are supported George an by oth the letters reliability, turt .30 mor aning. nour, the composer was a pant. US delegation. This means VS. litely first hand bu his attitud towardsde egation member of the

Question 9 continues on page 6

2010 HSC - Modern History Question 9 Band₁5/6 sample 1

Question 9 (continued)

the French is likely the hart bias due to the fact the French wanted different aims to the US. Overall this totar is should reliable. Despite the fact it is an extract 10 and thus has anisations it has uses little emotive language and is fairly objective. This source is any useful as it abbiefly discusses the overall aims of each leader, however the information provided is brief and other sources are required to gain more detail. Source F is an estract from The Fruth about the peace treatiss by Lloyd George, the British Prime Minister at the fimen of the creation of the Treaty of Versailles. The source is police was written in 1938. many yours after the signing of the Tready of Versilles and it in this validely Lloyd George still harboured strong towards the other signatories as he was looking back in hindsight. This raises the rehisbility. However, it is still ear contains some endive language such as greatest trouble' and extertionate, this that suggests a limited ability to remain objective and thus lowers the reliability. The source was published in 1938, this would have created some bias towards the French as there was a degree of guilt amongst the Allies for forcing such hash terms on Germany, evident in reparation reductions and the British of appearsement forwards Hitler. This lessens the sources relia bility. Also as an # individual who assisted in drawing up the Treaty he would have d first hard no wiedge. This LThe source is very useful to a historian. It discusses the public opinion in trance, conting influencing Clemenceaus intention of giving harsh penalties to End of Question 9 Germany, and briefly cyplainy the Birlish and US multiplication of a line of the second of the Billish and US motives and briefly describes the conflicting pricesors of the - 6British, US and French. © Board of Studies NSW 2010