Question 9 (10 marks)

How useful would Sources E and F be for a historian studying the different goals of Clemenceau, Lloyd George and Wilson in creating the Treaty of Versailles?

In your answer, consider the perspectives provided by the TWO sources and the reliability of each one.

Source E is an extract from a letter by Charles Seymour from June 1419, demonstrating the American puspective of the Paris Reder conference. The audrence is unknown but seymour is no doubt motivated by his destre to justify the Allies conditions for peace which enobles some of its retrability. Thus Since this is from the Us perspective, which history shows was more levient towards Germany than Bottom and especially France, the its reliability as representing the different goals of the leader is grestionable Nonetheless, it represents similar accounts of the Pans peace Conference and was been combanated other rousces and as mell as the actual agreement, Strengthening its reliability. 7 km, became this source is of relatively strong reliability, it would be useful to an extent to an historian studying the different goals of Question 9 continues on page 6

Question 9 (continued)

Clemenceau, boyd George and Witson However, this usefulness is limited in the sense that it does not provide direct French and British perspectives on the some of Reace Negotratorens, just the American one Source F 15 om estiactiant from former British PMZ Lloyd George's book, The Touth About the beace Treaties, published in 1938. It presents the bottish perspective but more specifically, the opinions of the himself which dimenshes the reliability of his claims relating to America and France. It is intended for an audience who appreciates world war I oned European history or just the career of George and Since he unter about himself, he aims to paint himself in a positive light and discredit others, extartranate demand put forward by Trusch Monsters, Agun, this sales the reliability of the source to a certain extent, making it somewhat anielrable. Neverthless, George's claims are home been validated by similar account and the modern world's knowledge of the Paris Reace Conference which has the benefit of times sight. Therefore, the reliability of source F is relatively strong. Since, the retrability of source F 13 strong, it would be useful to an instorion, especially became it provides a different account to that and perspective to that of source E. However, on historion would have to be careful when worning the this source, even when used in conjunction with source f, became now does not provide the direct French perpetime new does it presentend of Question 9 reservens of the Germans, even though they were excluded from the conference.